

LAUREL EDITIONS



DELTA BOOKS



DELL PUBLISHING CO., INC., 750 THIRD AVENUE, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10017 YU 6-8902

November 2, 1972

Raya Dunayevskaya
8146 Ward
Detroit, Mich. 48228

Dear Raya:

Thank you for giving me a blow-by-blow account of your public appearances: campuses, radio, newspapers, etc. I'm sure we'll be able to make promotional capital of much of it. In the sequel I have questions and comments on Parts II and III, which I've just finished.

P. ii: The last line has something missing because it makes no intelligible connection with the first line of P. iii. *eliminate last 2 words (is too)*
Pgs. 117-118: "In a word...living labor." This is not a complete sentence. *By the way, can't that be explained? 10/20/72*

OK

Pg. 120: The first sentence of the extract from the Preface to CAPITAL is incomplete.

Pg. 136, last line: I repeat this question: Can you come up with a more felicitous term than "statification"? How about "nationalization"? *cut*

get some around here too

Pg. 142, second extract: Second time round here, too. Isn't this either an incomplete sentence, or is "moment" supposed to be plural?

Pg. 177, lines 10-11: "In order...the new revolutions." This part of the sentence doesn't quite make real sense as it is now written. Please recast.

Pg. 198, fifth line from bottom: "Into opposite." You use this term throughout the work and it has an awkward, even confusing effect. Wouldn't "its opposite" or "the opposite" be better, depending on the context?

Reviews

- more -

14154

(Raya Dunayevskaya letter.11/2/72. . . p.2)

Pg. 213, last line to top of p.214: "One Man...etc."
(1) There is a break in the thought, as if something were missing and (2) Where do the closing quotation marks go for "One Man...?"

Pg. 242, twelfth line from bottom: "After the liberation...suppressing revolution..." This sentence seems incomplete. Incidentally, the word "readers" should be "leaders," no?

Pg. 246, line 2: "Bolivias" should have a footnote, I think, to explain the allusion. There may be many who will not recognize the reference.

Pg. 248, second line from bottom: "nightmares he got!" This is not clear. Please rephrase.

Pg. 249, line 8: What are "capitalist roaders"?

Pg. 251, second and third lines: What hypostatization?

Pg. 276, first five lines: The sentence ends with a closing parenthesis, but where does the opening parenthesis belong?

Pg. 281, line six of footnote: Isn't there a word ["day"?] missing after "the other" and before "I"?

Pg. 299, ninth and tenth lines from bottom: no matter how lush it is in mass. This is not felicitous and not quite clear. Please recast.

✓ Pg. 306, footnote 19 needs completion.

✓ Pg. 307, ninth to the fifth line from bottom: "Since that is highest...the Polish worker." The point you are making is not quite clear.

✓ Pg. 308, lines 5-8: "Rather it itself...in world war." Here, too, the point is not clearly made.

✓ Pg. 312, lines 4-7: "Precisely because...of Latin America." This is not exactly the most graceful sentence in the book. Please recast.

Pg. 321 and throughout: Aren't there figures and statistics that ought to be updated? 1968

Pg. 337-338: There is something missing between the two pages.

Just want to read the notes...

Handwritten notes and scribbles

combined

*WLE
ESS
11/81*

Believe it or not

(R. Dunayevskaya. letter of 11/2/72. . . p.3)

Pg. 358 last paragraph: "Because empiricism... of guerrilla warfare." This is not altogether clear. Please recast.

Pg. 366, lines 5-9: "It is taken for granted...mention the prisoner." I don't quite see the point you are making. Please clarify.

Pg. 378, lines 6-7: "the mindless 'subsumption' of philosophy." This is not clear.

While you're attending to the above I shall be going through the rest of the work and perhaps have some observations to make about that, too.

With all good wishes,

Richard

Richard Huett
Editor-in-Chief
Laurel Editions-Delta Books

RH/jh

14156