

CL'S LINE DOES NOT ADHERE TO THE SCIENCE OF MARXISM-LENINISM AND CONCILIATES WITH MODERN REVISIONISM.

We consider it our obligation to identify for honest Marxist-Leninists on the local Continuations Committee those points where CL's line conflicts with Marxist-Leninists Unite and which therefore prevent the Continuations Committee from authorizing the presentation of this line at the ~~the~~ Public Forum on Social Imperialism. Running through CL's position are ~~the~~ two basic ~~the~~ tenets of every form of revisionism: (1) economism, as manifested in ~~the~~ CL's position that a socialist economy can exist under the domination of bourgeois politics, and (2) ~~the~~ attacking the conscious element, as manifested in ~~the~~ CL's position that there can be socialism in the USSR without the ~~the~~ leadership of a vanguard party of the proletariat guided by the ideology of ~~the~~ Marxism-Leninism.

*Revisionism always attacks theory of the state 1st.*

\* 1. THE STATE. CL denies the fundamental ~~the~~ proposition of Marxism-Leninism that "the state is an organ of class rule, an organ for the oppression of one class by another" (State and Revolution). Instead CL says:

"The objective part of the superstructure is ~~not~~ consciously developed by the victorious class after battle; that fundamentally is the State. The State is represented by a constitution and the necessary laws to define that constitution, and the military and police apparatus, the prisons, etc. which enforce those laws. Thus the conscious element is constructed to safeguard and develop the productive relations which are the basis of the specific society."

What is ignored here is the main function of the state: (1) to suppress the capitalist class; (2) to suppress the capital relationship. It is because CL slurs over the repressive role of the ~~the~~ state under socialism that it can say that the bourgeoisie can seize the State apparatus but ~~the~~ that the socialist economic base will remain intact.

~~CL's line is a revisionist line which attacks the theory of the state.~~

This proposition is revisionist and fundamentally ~~en~~ contradicts the ABC of Marxism -- that politics has ~~precedence~~ priority over economics.

- \* 2. THE PARTY. CL rejects the fundamental proposition of marxism-Leninism that socialism must be ~~en~~ organized by the conscious struggle of the proletariat led by ~~x~~ its vanguard party. CL's position is that a bourgeois clique has seized ~~unxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ leadership of the ~~the~~ CPSU but that the socialist economic base remains. This is equivalent to saying that there can be socialism ~~wxx~~ without a party of the proletariat. In fact in its article in the People's Tribune CL ignores the role of the ~~the~~ Soviet Party in its account of Class Struggle in the ~~USSR~~ USSR and mentions the party only once:

"Such a heroic people, such a glorious party will not be thrown back into the epoch of capitalist barbarism."

~~This~~ The Chinese~~and~~ and Albanian comrades however tell us that it already has been. CL's position -- that the ideology of a party and its leadership can be revisionist, but the party remain a proletarian party -- is completely unscientific and has nothing to do with Marxism-Leninism.

- ? 3. THE SOCIALIST ECONOMIC BASE. CL says:

"the dictatorship of the proletariat leaps into existence without any base whatsoever."

This is ~~idealism~~ metaphysical idealism, not dialectical materialism. The base for the dictatorship of the proletariat is large scale socialized production and the class which socialized production brings into being and tempers for the struggle for socialism. According to CL the function of the ~~dictatorship~~ dictatorship of the proletariat is to bring into being that socialist economic base rather than to suppress the capitalist relationship and to transform the process of production in a socialist way by perfecting socialist relations of production. The ~~px~~ purpose here is to weaken the instruments of the proletariat's dictatorship over capital. CL says:

So we can see that no anti-socialist ideas can arise out of the dictatorship

of the proletariat precisely because, in the early ~~xxxx~~ stages, there is no base for these ideas to arise from. On the contrary, the reactionary ~~xxxxx~~ forms of political activity are all hangovers of capitalism and do not arise on the basis of socialist relations. In fact, the more the socialist relations are formed, the more the state -- the objective aspect of the superstructure tends to wither."

The struggle against Lin Piao/<sup>by the CPC</sup> has decisively repudiated ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ revisionism of this ~~xx~~ sort designed to weaken the dictatorship of the proletariat. Compare the statement by ~~xxx~~ Chairman Mao:

Socialist society covers a considerably long historical period. In the historical period of socialism, there are still classes, class contradictions and class struggle, there is struggle between the socialist road and the capitalist road, and there is the ~~xxx~~ danger of capitalist restoration. Our instruments of dictatorship must be strengthened not weakened.

The struggle against modern revisionism is based on this lesson.

X4. BUREAUCRACY. CL says that there can be no socialism without bureaucracy. This again is completely contrary to Lenin's teachings in State and Revolution:

Socialists demand the strictest control by society ~~xx~~ and by the state of the measure of labor and the measure of consumption; but this control must ~~is~~ start with the expropriation of the capitalist, with the establishment of workers' control over the capitalists, and must be exercised not by a ~~xxxx~~ state of bureaucrats, but by a ~~xxxxx~~ state of armed workers.

And also:

proletarian democracy . . . will take immediate steps to cut bureaucracy down to the roots, and ~~xx~~ which will be able to carry out these measures to the end, to the complete abolition of bureaucracy, to the introduction of complete democracy for the people.

of capitalism in the USSR. The Albanian comrade Foto Cami says:

"There are three special features which distinguish the revisionist counter-revolution from the counter-revolutions known up until today.

Differently from other ~~counter-~~ counter-revolutions, it was not carried out by the ~~former~~ old former ruling classes overthrown from state power, but by a new bourgeois class, which was formed gradually in the conditions of socialism as a result of the bourgeois influence from inside and the pressure of imperialism from outside.

It did not begin from below but from above, from the leading cadres of the class which is in power, who degenerated into bourgeois elements and who, for the ~~achievement~~ achievement of their purposes even used the Party in Power ~~and~~ and the existing ~~State~~ State.

It was not carried out by the use of ~~an~~ armed force but was carried out in a peaceful manner, disguised with socialist phraseology, gradually eroding from within the socialist system . . . .

ON  
CIVIL  
WAR

~~7.~~

THE RESTORATION OF CAPITALISM AS A MODE OF PRODUCTION. CL denies that capitalism has been restored as a mode of production but says that there is "plenty of capitalism in the Soviet Union." This notion of "plenty of" is completely unscientific and a disgrace to ~~any~~ any pretended Leninist analysis. CL refuses to admit that the means of production function as capital monopolized by a new capitalist class and refuses to recognize that the proletariat has lost ownership and control of the means of production. CL says:

"The Soviet capitalists are faced with the problems of the capitalists of 500 years ago -- that is -- how to accumulate funds into the hands of a few and how to expropriate a freeholding producer and reduce him to the level of a proletarian.

This is nonsense. By seizing the state and changing its class character from proletarian to bourgeois, the Soviet bourgeois took ownership and control of a massive industrial

base, a banking system, land etc. The main problem facing the new Soviet bourgeoisie is clearly not primary accumulation!

More importantly, the notion that the Soviet worker is a "freeholding producer" rests again on ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ an economist worker's control ideology. CL's idea of expropriation is a clear attack on the ~~x~~ conscious element ~~XXXXX~~ since the proletariat steps forward to take ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ ownership and control of the means of production ~~xxx~~ through the dictatorship of the proletariat. Where the bourgeoisie seizes control of the party and the state that is itself an expropriation since the class has been deprived of its vanguard, the instrument through which can exercise its ownership and the class rule to secure that ownership.

Note on developments since '65

1. suppression of fact
2. why do they have to suppress this fact

\* 8.

SOCIAL IMPERIALISM AS A POLICY OR SOCIAL IMPERIALISM AS ~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~ THE HIGHEST STAGE OF CAPITALISM

of capital

But CL has never said that there is a ~~restoration~~ ~~dictatorship of the bourgeoisie~~ in the USSR and that is the essence of its conciliation with Soviet revisionism. Breznev and Kosygin ~~is a~~ represent a ~~xxx~~ clique and ~~not~~ a class which has seized state power, ~~according to CL~~. According to CL ~~again~~ the politics of social imperialism are separated from its economics (Kautsky). Social imperialism is the preferred policy of a ruling clique and not the highest stage of capitalism.

strengthen aspect of attack on conscious element (separating politics from economics)

Comrades! The correctness or incorrectness of our political line determines everything. We cannot build a multi-national marxist-leninist Communist Party without a clear and firm line on the restoration of capitalism in the USSR. Nor can we do so without joining the international communist movement in a common front led by the CPC and the PLA in an unrelenting struggle against modern revisionism. Before we can unite, and in order to unite, we must draw strict lines of demarcation between marxism and revisionism. As the Albanian ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ comrades insist:

Sum up 4 contradictions in the world

Today it is impossible to fight with success against U.S. imperialism and to carry forward the cause of socialism and proletarian revolution and the liberation of peoples, without exposing, fighting, and destroying

revisionism and its "anti-imperialist" demagogy. Therefore the Marxist-Leninists are guided by the principle, no unity of action with the modern revisionists, the offspring and ~~the~~ close ally of imperialism, but battle to the end to expose their true features, to bring to light all the baseness of their policy. . . .

ADHERE TO THE SCIENCE OF MARXISM-LENINISM!

STRUGGLE AGAINST ALL CONCILIATION WITH MODERN REVISIONISM!

BUILD A MULTI-NATIONAL, MARXIST-LENINIST COMMUNIST PARTY!

NO UNITY OF ACTION WITH MODERN REVISIONISTS!

MARXIST\*LENINISTS UNITE!

Need concluding paragraph on  
how CL's position 1) makes a  
farce of ML 2) undermines the basis  
of our assault on revisionism 3) under-  
mines the pb movement by belittling  
role of conscious element